1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO
3	In Re:) Docket No. 3:17-BK-3283(LTS)
4)
5) PROMESA Title III The Financial Oversight and)
6	Management Board for) Puerto Rico,) (Jointly Administered)
7	as representative of)
8	The Commonwealth of) Puerto Rico, et al.) December 9, 2020
9	Debtors,
10	Dedicors,)
11	
12	In Re:) Docket No. 3:17-BK-4780(LTS)
13) PROMESA Title III
14	The Financial Oversight and) Management Board for)
15	Puerto Rico,) (Jointly Administered)
16	as representative of)
17	Puerto Rico Power) Authority,)
18	Debtor,)
19	
20	OMNIBUS HEARING
21	BEFORE THE HONORABLE U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN
22	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
23	AND THE HONORABLE U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE JUDITH GAIL DEIN
24	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
25	

1	APPEARANCES:		
2	ALL PARTIES APPEARING TELEPHONICALLY		
3			
4	For The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, et al.: Mr. Martin J. Bienenstock, PHV Mr. Brian S. Rosen, PHV		
5	Mr. Steve Y. Ma, PHV		
6	For Puerto Rico Fiscal Agency and Financial		
7	Advisory Authority: Mr. Luis C. Marini Biaggi, Esq.		
8	For the Official Committee of Unsecured		
9	Creditors of all Title III Debtors: Mr. Luc A. Despins, PHV		
10	m. Ede m. Beepins, inv		
11	For Cobra Acquisitions: Mr. Abid Qureshi, PHV		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	Proceedings recorded by stenography. Transcript produced by CAT.		
24			
25			
	I I		

1		IND	EX	
2	WITNESSES:		PAGE	
3	None.			
4				
5	EXHIBITS:			
6	None.			
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
I	I			I

San Juan, Puerto Rico 1 December 9, 2020 2 At or about 9:33 AM 3 4 Good morning. THE COURT: This is Judge Swain. 5 MS. NG: Good morning, Judge. It's Lisa. Everybody 6 7 is here. THE COURT: Thank you. 8 Ms. Tacoronte, would you please call the case? 9 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, Your Honor. 10 The United States District Court for the District of 11 Puerto Rico is now in session. The Honorable Laura Taylor 12 Swain presiding. Also present in court, the Honorable Judith 13 Dein. God save the United States of America and this 14 Honorable Court. 15 Bankruptcy Case No. 17-3283, In re: The Financial 16 Oversight and Management Board for Puerto Rico as 17 representative of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, et al., for 18 Omnibus Hearing. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 Buenos dias. Good morning. Welcome, counsel, 21 parties in interest, and members of the public and press. 22 Today's telephonic Omnibus Hearing is occurring in what 2.3 continue to be challenging times for all stakeholders in these 2.4 25 Title III proceedings. We remain in the midst of the

2.3

2.4

worldwide COVID-19 pandemic, and our thoughts remain with everyone affected on the island and on the mainland.

This is a year of severe challenges, as well as much change. We continue to hope for progress, health, and safety for all. As this year draws to a close, we also want to wish everyone a joyous, socially distant holiday season, a happy new year, and bright hopes for what 2021 will bring.

To keep today's telephonic hearing orderly, all parties on the line must mute their phones when they are not speaking. If you are accessing these proceedings on a computer, you must select "mute" on both the Court Solutions dashboard and your phone. When you wish to speak, you must unmute on both the dashboard and the phone.

I remind everyone that consistent with court and judicial conference policies, and the orders that have been issued, no recording or retransmission of the hearing is permitted by any person, including but not limited to the parties, members of the public, or the press. Violations of this rule may be punished with sanctions.

I will call on each speaker during the hearing. When I do, please identify yourself by name for clarity of the record. After the speakers listed on the Agenda for each of today's matters have spoken, I may allow other parties in interest to briefly address any issues raised during the presentations that require further comment. If you wish to be

2.3

2.4

heard in this manner, please state your name clearly at the appropriate time. Don't just use the wave function on the Court Solutions dashboard. I will call on the speakers if more than one person wishes to be heard.

Please don't interrupt each other or me during the hearing. Interruptions make it difficult to create an accurate transcript. But, as always, I apologize in advance for breaking this rule, as I may interrupt when I have questions or if you go beyond your allotted time. If anyone has difficulty hearing me or another participant, please say something right away.

The Amended Agenda, which was filed at Docket Entry No. 15376 in Case No. 17-3283, is available to the public at no cost on Prime Clerk for those interested. I encourage each speaker to keep track of his or her own time. The Court will also be keeping track of the time and will alert each speaker when there are two minutes remaining with one buzz, and when time is up, with two buzzes.

Here is an example of the buzz sound.

(Sound played.)

THE COURT: If your allocation is two minutes or less, you will just hear the final two buzzes.

If we need to take a break, I'll direct everyone to disconnect and dial back in at a specified time. Our timing this morning is from 9:30 AM to 1:00 PM Atlantic Standard

2.3

2.4

Time. Of course in Eastern Standard Time, it's about 8:39 now, so it's 8:30 to noon Eastern Time.

The first Agenda item is, as usual, status reports from the Oversight Board and AAFAF. As I requested in the Procedures Order, these reports have been made in writing in advance of this telephonic hearing and are available on the public docket at Docket Entry Nos. 15382 and 15388 in Case No. 17-3283.

In addition, PREPA and the Oversight Board have submitted a status report available on the public docket at Docket Entry No. 15308 in Case No. 17-3283, and at Docket Entry No. 2314 in Case No. 17-4780, regarding criminal and administrative proceedings that those government parties contend are material to the resolution of Cobra's administrative expense claim.

Our first Agenda item is the status reports. I thank the Oversight Board and AAFAF for the care and detail reflected in their reports, which I find quite comprehensive. I have a question for the Oversight Board's counsel regarding the claims resolution process, but first I will invite the Oversight Board's counsel to make any general or additional remarks.

MR. BIENENSTOCK: Good morning, Judge Swain. This is Martin Bienenstock of Proskauer for the Oversight Board.

The only additional remark, because it occurred after

we filed the status report, is something that I think everyone 1 2 knows, but just to put it on the record, yesterday President Trump made three additional appointments to the Oversight 3 Board. So currently we have five members. We are already in 4 discussions with the mediators as to how this might affect 5 timing of the ongoing sessions and decision-making process, 6 7 but it is progress. Other than that, I only wanted to, as the Court did, 8 wish the Court, its staff, all creditors and parties in 9 interest, you know, health, happiness over the new year, and 10 we have high hopes for 2021. Other than that, unless the 11 Court -- I guess the Court's question about the claims 12 process, et cetera, my partner, Brian Rosen, is probably best 13 to respond to it. 14 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Bienenstock. 15 Mr. Rosen, are you there? Please unmute on both the 16 dashboard and your phone. 17 MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, this is Brian Rosen. 18 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Rosen. 19 One guestion on the ADR. So, according to the 20 report, there have been 222 cases -- or claims designated to 21 22 that process so far. Do you expect to make additional 2.3 designations before the end of the year? MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, there is -- I'm just making 2.4

sure I get this right, and I apologize for that. Our next

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

```
notice, Your Honor, I believe is -- well, we sent offers out
on December 7th. I'm not sure that we will be making any
additional ones this year based upon our analysis so far, Your
Honor.
         We are expecting, however, in the interim, to be
responding to letters and them -- and getting responses to us
in the next few weeks. So that will tell us actually, Your
Honor, how many will -- that we've already submitted into the
ADR process, will ultimately move to the next level of that
ADR. But right now, no --
         THE COURT:
                    Thank you.
         MR. ROSEN: -- to -- the initial answer to your
question.
         THE COURT: Thank you. Yes, that's helpful for our
planning purposes. And good to hear your voice.
        MR. ROSEN: Same here, Your Honor. Happy holidays.
         THE COURT:
                    Thank you. To you as well.
         So I will turn now to AAFAF. Mr. Marini, do you have
any general or further remarks?
         MR. MARINI BIAGGI: Good morning, Your Honor. Luis
Marini of Marini Pietrantoni Muniz for AAFAF.
         Good morning, Your Honor. I don't have anything else
to add, unless the Court has any questions.
         THE COURT: No, I don't have any further questions.
Good to hear your voice as well. Hello, Mr. Marini, and thank
```

you.

2.3

2.4

MR. MARINI BIAGGI: Likewise, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And so we will now turn to remarks concerning the PREPA report on the Cobra administrative expense claim. I understand that Cobra's counsel,

Mr. Qureshi, wishes to make a comment, and we've allocated two minutes for Mr. Qureshi.

MR. QURESHI: Thank you. Good morning, Your Honor. For the record, Abid Qureshi, Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer & Feld, on behalf of Cobra.

Your Honor, I will be very brief and start with just underscoring the lack of progress and really fundamental unfairness as it relates to Cobra, leaving us without effective redress for 216 million dollars of post-petition work that was completed way back in March of 2019.

As Your Honor will see from the status report that PREPA has filed, Cobra is not a defendant in the criminal matter, and we have previously briefed why we do not believe that the outcome of that criminal proceeding will have or could have any meaningful effect on our application for administrative expenses.

When Your Honor originally stayed this matter back in October of 2019, at the time, the criminal trial was scheduled to proceed on December the 9th of 2019. So here we are, to the day, a year later from when that criminal trial was

2.3

2.4

expected to proceed, and we still do not have a trial date.

Your Honor, with respect to the ongoing FEMA review,
I just wish to remind the Court that the August 2020
completion date for that review has now come and gone, with,
once again, no deadline or real insight into when that will be
completed. But, Your Honor, this is FEMA's third review for
reasonableness. Both the first review by FEMA and the second
review by Rand found that Cobra's rates were reasonable.

What FEMA does ultimately conclude, however, will not affect PREPA's liability for the expenses that have been incurred, nor the reasonableness of those expenses. That is governed by the contract between Cobra and PREPA. As the -- as PREPA notes in the status report, Your Honor, they needed Cobra's assistance to respond to the most recent request by FEMA. And Cobra is cooperating.

(Sound played.)

MR. QURESHI: Yet at every turn, Your Honor, PREPA seeks to delay.

So in conclusion, Your Honor, we continue to believe that the imposition of the stay is fundamentally unfair. We anticipate returning to this Court in January, once again to seek the stay to be lifted, so that we can proceed with our application and our motion for administrative expenses.

Thank you, Your Honor. I'm happy to take any questions.

THE COURT: I think you've been quite direct and comprehensive in your remarks. And given the -- well, actually, I will first hear -- I think that Ms. Waxman wishes to respond, and so I will turn to Ms. Waxman in the first instance. Thank you, Mr. Qureshi.

Ms. Waxman.

2.3

2.4

MS. WAXMAN: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MS. WAXMAN: Hadassa Waxman for the Oversight Board and government parties.

Your Honor, as we set forth in our papers, the Board and the government parties' position remains unchanged as to the stay. Given the pendency of the criminal proceedings, the stay should remain in place.

As we've set forth in our papers, a finding of Cobra -- that Cobra CEO acted fraudulently with respect to the contracts with FEMA and PREPA may invalidate some or all of PREPA's obligations under the terms of the contracts at issue. So a resolution of the criminal case is really a gating question as to the resolution of Cobra's claims.

Second, Your Honor, discovery will be necessary in this case, and that will be severely impacted, unless the criminal case is resolved. As Your Honor can imagine, there will be depositions and documents that will need to be produced, and potential witnesses could very well assert Fifth

2.3

2.4

Amendment rights. So discovery in this case will not be meaningful.

For those reasons, again, Your Honor, it's the Board's position and the government parties' position that the stay should remain in place. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Waxman.

In view of the statement by Ms. Waxman, and taking into account our prior discussions, and the Court's consideration of the prior applications, and the Court's rulings in that regard over the past year, the Court will require a further status report from the Oversight Board and PREPA on June 6th of 2021.

And while I cannot stop you from queuing up the motion again for January, it is my intention to await the June report, unless something happens with respect to the trial or FEMA, and there remains the structure of PROMESA as to the treatment of administrative claims. And so for that bundle of reasons, I think it is most efficient and appropriate, although understandably painful to Cobra and frustrating to Cobra, that we await events in the first half of the year and a status report by June 6th, 2021, from the government parties.

Thank you both, Mr. Qureshi and Ms. Waxman.

Let's see. Is there anyone who wished to make any further comments or who has questions regarding the status

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

I will wait 30 seconds for any such person to speak reports? their name, and then I will call on the people who've identified themselves, if any. So if you want to be heard, unmute on the dashboard and your phone, and state your name. (No response.) THE COURT: Thank you. We'll now go on to the contested matters. The first contested matter, which is Item II.1 on the Agenda, is the Commonwealth's Motion for Approval of the Assumption of Settlement Agreements with the Garcia-Rubiera Class Plaintiffs, Docket Entry No. 15171 in Case No. 17-3283. We have a total of 20 minutes allocated for argument. And speaking first on behalf of the Commonwealth will be Mr. Ma. Mr. Ma. MR. MA: Good morning, Your Honor. THE COURT: Good morning. For the record, Steve Ma of Proskauer Rose MR. MA: for the Oversight Board, as representative of the Commonwealth, as the Title III debtor. The relief we seek is an order approving assumption of two pre-petition settlement agreements. And in the alternative, we seek an order simply approving the Commonwealth's payment of claims under its settlement

2.3

2.4

agreements. And the Oversight Board consents to the entry of such order under PROMESA Section 305.

The entire reason for the assumption motion is that the debtor and the *Gracia-Gracia* plaintiffs entered into a stipulation to resolve the Lift Stay Motion filed by plaintiffs. And as far as that stipulation, the plaintiffs wanted some order to rely on that would provide the Commonwealth -- that the Commonwealth would carry out the settlement agreements. And it seems appropriate to assume the settlement agreements in this instance, but if the Court determines that the settlement agreements are not executory, the parties are satisfied with lesser relief simply confirming that the Commonwealth may make the payments pursuant to the settlement agreement.

And the Commonwealth has requested this alternative relief seeking such other and further relief, as the Court may deem appropriate, in its assumption motion. And we've confirmed with the plaintiffs' counsel that such an alternative relief is satisfactory.

THE COURT: And what would be --

MR. MA: However --

THE COURT: -- the particular legal foundation for my issuing a general order stating that you can do -- you can make a payment that PROMESA apparently allows you to do, whether I approve of it or not?

MR. MA: I think, Your Honor, the Court can do so under -- as -- its own under Rule 9019, and pursuant to its general authority, under, oh, 105. Alternatively, the Court could simply authorize lifting of the automatic stay for those payments to be made.

THE COURT: Thank you. You can go on.

MR. MA: Sure.

2.3

2.4

So the Oversight Board -- I believe that the settlement agreements, nonetheless, are executory contracts. And I'd like to begin with the issue the Court directed the parties to address, and that is the application of the Countryman test versus the functional approach.

The Oversight Board has not been able to find any binding authority requiring the Court to apply the Countryman test, and argues that this Court may and should, in this instance, apply the functional approach. In particular, the U.S. Supreme Court in NLRB v. Bildisco & Bildisco -- the citation for that is 465 U.S. 513 (1984). The Supreme Court noted in that case that an executory contract is, "one which performance remains due to some extent on both sides." And of significance is that the Supreme Court did not mention materiality, but rather, quote, to some extent.

Furthermore, the legislative history shows that Congress intended a flexible approach in applying Section 365. Senate Report No. 95-989 notes that, while there isn't a

2.3

2.4

precise definition of executory contract, quote, it generally includes contracts on which performance remains due to some extent on both sides. So I note that the use of "generally includes" suggests that contracts with outstanding obligations on both sides do not comprise the entire universe of executory contracts.

And the First Circuit seems to have endorsed the application of either the Countryman or functional approach in In re: La Electronica, Inc., 995 F.2d 320 (1st Cir. 1993).

Similarly, the District Court for the District of Massachusetts also explained that, quote, courts in this circuit apply both tests, often in tandem, and the First Circuit has endorsed this approach. And that case was *Stevens v. CSA*, *Inc.*, 271 B.R. 410 (D. Mass. 2001).

Similarly, the Bankruptcy Court in the District of Puerto Rico noted the same in *In re: Redondo Construction Corp.*, 2019, Bankruptcy -- Lexus 1162, Bankruptcy Court for the District of Puerto Rico, April 8th, 2019.

So my point is that the First Circuit does not require application of the Countryman test. And, in fact, the Supreme Court and Congress have indicated that Section 365 permits a flexible approach to determining what is an executory contract.

So here it is appropriate for the Court to apply the Countryman approach to determine --

(Sound played.)

2.3

2.4

MR. MA: -- whether the settlement agreements are executory contracts. Under the functional approach, the Court works backwards, proceeding from an examination of the goals of -- assumption or rejection is expected to accomplish. And in the 11th Circuit, which has adopted both the Countryman test and functional approach, courts apply the functional approach where assumption or rejection of a contract would benefit the estate.

And that case was *In re: General Development Corp.*, 84 F.3d 1364 (11th Cir. 1996).

Similarly, the Sixth Circuit has done so in In re:

Jolly, 574 F.2d 349 (6th Cir. 1978).

Notably here, applying the functional approach rather than the Countryman test is appropriate because analysis of material outstanding obligation under those Countryman tests adds nothing to the case and doesn't affect the outcome. If the settlement agreements are assumed, plaintiffs are paid in full. However, if the settlement agreements are not executory, but plaintiffs prevail under the stay motion, they are again paid in full. While if the Commonwealth prevails under the stay motion, the plaintiffs are again paid in full under a plan of adjustment.

And this result is one of the criticisms of the Countryman test that the Bankruptcy Court for the Southern

District of New York explained in Cohen v. Drexel Burnham 1 2 Lambert Group, 138 B.R. 687 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1992). 3 So here the settlement agreements are executory contracts under a functional approach, and there's a long 4 history of litigation that assumption of the settlement 5 agreements would resolve. Assumption would also provide 6 7 finality with respect to the issues of plaintiffs' property interest in the insurance fund held by the Commonwealth, which 8 the First Circuit had ordered in its 2013 decision that the 9 funds would not escheat --10 (Sound played.) 11 MR. MA: -- until the Commonwealth had satisfied 12 conditions under the --13 COURT REPORTER: Counsel, can you -- Your Honor, this 14 is the court reporter. Can counsel please repeat his last 15 sentence? The buzzer sounded while he was speaking. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 Mr. Ma, would you repeat your last sentence --18 MR. MA: Yes. 19 THE COURT: -- and wind up, because your time has run 20 out. 21 Yes. Assumption would provide finality with 22 respect to the issues of plaintiffs' property interest in the 2.3 insurance fund held by the Commonwealth, noting that the First 2.4 Circuit had ordered in its 2013 decision that no funds would 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

escheat until the Commonwealth had satisfied its obligations under the Due Process Clause. And I'll end there, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Ma. And now we have Mr. Despins for ten minutes. Mr. Despins, are you there? MR. DESPINS: Yes. Good morning, Your Honor. apologize. THE COURT: Good morning. MR. DESPINS: So, briefly, Your Honor, I just want to address one point that was made at the outset about the fact that the motion sought alternative relief to pay the funds other than through an assumption of the settlement agreement. We didn't see that. So I think we -- I don't see the basis for that. But, in any event, that would be an advisory opinion. If it's a 9019 settlement, you know, what's the settlement? They're getting paid in full. So if there's uncertainty about whether they have trust funds or not, that's not a settlement. They're just granting the relief. So it could not be approved under 9019. But let me address the crux of the issue first, to say that we understand, Your Honor, this is a horrible situation. The underlying facts are horrible in terms of people being deprived of, you know, payment on their claims.

2.3

But, you know, there are hundreds, thousands of other people that have equally horrible facts, and they are being offered less than one percent recovery. There are hundreds of millions of people with judgments, settlements, and similar claims that are projected, according to the Oversight Board, to get less than one percent.

It's also important, Your Honor, to understand the litigation has been settled. They -- under Puerto Rico law, they cannot go back and say, oh, I didn't get paid, therefore, I'm going to relitigate the underlying claim. The only thing they can do is pursue the payment of the settled amount. And that's key here. They have no ability to walk --

THE COURT: But Mr. Despins --

MR. DESPINS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- they do still have the ability to press the trust theory or an ownership theory. In your papers, you assert that it's clear they have nothing but an unsecured claim, but the First Circuit remanded specifically for a tracing exercise, or a 362(d)(2) motion asserting that the Commonwealth has no equity in these funds. And that controversy is clearly material to the premise of the argument that you are making that these are merely unsecured claims, like other unsecured claims.

So the Puerto Rico law principle that you've articulated, of a judgment being merely a right to collect on

2.3

2.4

an unsecured claim, does not seem to be consistent with the law of the case here.

MR. DESPINS: Well, I was talking about the underlying claims. Now we're talking the treatment of the claim. And it's true that the First Circuit remanded to Your Honor for that determination. However -- and that's the crux of the argument here. The Board has always taken the position that they have no such trust fund claim. And I'll stipulate that there's uncertainty on that issue, but what they're doing is actually conceding the point.

This is another one -- it's like the GO priority issue. They're just conceding the point. Therefore, it's not a settlement. There's no benefit to the estate to assume a contract based on the risk when they're actually paying in full. So the risk argument does not -- does not really work here.

And in terms of the First Circuit and the functional approach, I'll concede at first blush, because Your Honor asked us to submit supplemental papers on this, that the First Circuit has not issued a decision saying, thou shall not use the functional approach. Okay. But we hear that. However, in the cases in the First Circuit, like the *Gallivan* case that is cited, cited with approval; the *Columbia Gas* case, which the debtors don't address at all, which is on all fours with this claim -- with this case in terms of the analysis of

executory or not.

2.3

2.4

The point here, as I said, is that there's payment in full. And, therefore, there cannot be a risk that's being settled. Even if you look, Your Honor, at the cases, assuming for a second that a functional approach could be used by the Court, if you look at the cases that apply the functional approach, such as WorldCom, at page 497, in that case, Judge Gonzalez distinguished this type of case with the case he was dealing with based on what could happen in the WorldCom case, which makes -- so what that tells you is that just saying that the functional approach works does not resolve the issue.

Just to give you an example, there's a case,
Bankruptcy Court decision, Structurlite Plastics, 86 B.R. 922,
where in that case there was a medical claims trust fund. The
debtor moved to assume that, and the Court said, no, you can't
assume that. First of all, it's not executory. But even if
the functional approach did apply, and you're telling me that
this is very important to maintain employee morale, et cetera,
et cetera, and that there could be a strike if the medical
claims are not paid in full, that does not satisfy even the
functional approach, because of the detriment to the other
creditors.

So the point is, even on the functional approach, the Court is looking at -- or the Court should look at the impact on other creditors who are getting pennies on the dollar. In

our case, less than a penny.

2.3

2.4

And we believe, by the way, that our submissions on December 16th, Your Honor, with your permission, should not only address what the First Circuit has said in -- cases in the First Circuit have said about the functional approach, but also what is the -- if the functional approach applies, what result does that bring. And that, Your Honor, we submit would show that, even in the functional approach, that the Court should not approve this motion.

THE COURT: But let me just respond to you -MR. DESPINS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- and state generally that the submissions, to the extent either side is arguing for or against the functional approach, or any other different approach, should include the positions as to the result of application of the alternative approach.

MR. DESPINS: Thank you, Your Honor. And also, I would end by saying that we strongly dispute that all outcomes lead to payment in full. There -- it may be that if a plan is confirmed that has a convenience class that is high enough to pay the individual folks, they will get paid in full. And by the way, we wouldn't oppose that. But also, their counsel fees would be way above the convenience class, and, therefore, not all roads lead to payment in full. So that's --

THE COURT: Well, the settlement -- I'm sorry.

1 Mr. Despins. 2 MR. DESPINS: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: The settlement is structured to treat the 3 payments to counsel as holdbacks from the -- an accumulation 4 of holdbacks from the amounts paid to the particular 5 claimholders. And so if the particular claimholder is payable 6 7 a hundred percent in the gross amount under the convenience class, then why would there be a separate convenience class 8 filter for that accumulated amount that's being paid or 9 advanced to counsel? 10 MR. DESPINS: Your Honor, I have to admit that I need 11 12 to look at that feature --(Sound played.) 13 MR. DESPINS: -- because I don't -- okay. I'm not 14 sure that counsel will not be paid directly their claims under 15 a plan. So -- and they actually get, under the settlement, 16 hundreds of thousands of dollars in advances. So I don't 17 think that would qualify under the convenience class. 18 be that they described it as such, but I don't think that's 19 the way it actually plays out in terms of the actual payments, 20 Your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: Thank you. 2.3 MR. DESPINS: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Despins. 2.4 25 Mr. Ma, you've allotted three minutes for rebuttal.

2.3

2.4

MR. MA: This is again addressing -- I'd first like to address the point on alternative relief, which the Oversight Board had requested in its motion on page 11 seeking, such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper. On the point about the advisory ruling, approval of the payments are not an advisory ruling in the alternative order. It's approval of the settlement of the stay litigation pending in this Title III court.

On the point about the property interest, even if the Board is conceding that, the property interest issue, there is no purpose served by litigating the issue with plaintiffs since they would be paid in full as a convenience class claim. And these are all small claims. The vehicle reimbursements are either 99 dollars or 148 dollars per vehicle, per year. So these would likely fall under any threshold as a convenience class claim.

(Sound played.)

MR. MA: And at the core, these -- the plaintiffs' claims are not the same as the claims represented by the Unsecured Creditors Committee, because the plaintiffs are asserting trust interests in the funds held. And notably, the Commonwealth has segregated funds for accounting purposes, as we've noted in prior -- our prior papers on the Lift Stay Motion, so resolving the issues regarding the property interest by assuming the settlement agreements would allow the

2.3

release of these funds so that the Commonwealth can use them.

And, furthermore, there is no detriment to other creditors, because the plaintiffs would get the same treat — all the same treatment as convenience class claims. And on the counsels' claims, as the Court noted, these are paid out of a 20 percent holdback of all the claims paid, which is a feature in the Commonwealth Court settlement agreement. And we view this as merely an allocation of proceeds, not a separate claim of plaintiffs' counsel. It's all in there, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Ma, and thank you,
Mr. Despins. I will reserve decision pending the supplemental
submissions next week, and I thank you for being responsive to
my questions here. This was very helpful to me.

The final Agenda item is the motion for relief from stay of -- I'm sorry. I am just fiddling around with my papers here and seem to have lost track of one paper, but I'm sure that I will find it in a moment.

Anyway, the second contested matter is Mr. Luis

Duprey-Rivera's Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay, for
which we have allocated a total of ten minutes of argument:

Three minutes for the movant, five minutes for response by

AAFAF, and then a two-minute rebuttal for the movant.

This is Docket Entry No. 15186 in Case No. 17-3283.

So I would invite Mr. Luis Rodriguez Munoz to begin as counsel

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

for the movant. You'll need to unmute both your phone and the computer interface. Ms. Ng, is Mr. Rodriguez Munoz on the line? MS. NG: Judge, hold on. I don't see him on the line. THE COURT: Is Mr. Marini on the line? MR. MARINI BIAGGI: Good morning, Your Honor. I am on the line, Your Honor. Marini. THE COURT: Good morning. Have you had any communication with Mr. Rodriguez Munoz? MR. MARINI BIAGGI: Yes, Your Honor. We sent to Mr. Rodriguez the order to register in Court Solutions last week. And I understand he called my office. My assistant just gave me a message about five minutes ago that he may be having trouble connecting. I did not speak with him, but I did get that message a few minutes ago. THE COURT: Did your office try to help him with connecting instructions? I'm just wondering whether we should be waiting. MR. MARINI BIAGGI: Yes, we did. We sent him the instructions last week and directed him again today. I don't know if he's going to connect, Your Honor. He just left that message that he was having trouble. Alright. And how long ago was that? THE COURT: MR. MARINI BIAGGI: Maybe five or ten minutes ago.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

Are you in a position to ask whoever in THE COURT: your office was in communication with him to reach out to him again and ask whether he does wish to connect and make his argument? MR. MARINI BIAGGI: Yes. I'll check right now his number, and I'll do that. Thank you. And we'll all just wait. THE COURT: MR. MARINI BIAGGI: Good morning, Your Honor. Luis Marini. Your Honor, I -- can you hear me okay? THE COURT: Yes, I can. Thank you. MR. MARINI BIAGGI: Your Honor, I've reached counsel for movant directly just now and was able to speak with him. He did register. He's having trouble logging in. He asked me to request whether this can be moved to the January Omnibus. We don't have any objection. So I'll leave it up to you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Very well. The adjournment request is granted. And that adjournment request is understood as and deemed to be a waiver of the 362(e) time limit for determinations of the Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay. So we will put this on the Agenda for the January Omni. And thank you, Mr. Marini, for reaching out and speaking with Mr. Rodriguez. MR. MARINI BIAGGI: Sure, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: And so this concludes the set Agenda for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

Is there anyone who believes that this Omnibus Hearing. another matter should be addressed today? I will again wait 30 seconds for anyone to state their name if they wish to be heard before we adjourn. (No response.) THE COURT: The 30 seconds are up. The next scheduled hearing is on January 12th, 2020. That hearing will begin at 9:30 AM Atlantic Standard Time, and it will occur telephonically as well. The Court will issue a procedures order providing appropriate logistical details. As always, I thank the court staff in Puerto Rico, Boston and New York for their work in preparing for and conducting today's hearing, and for their outstanding ongoing support of the administration of these cases, especially in these challenging times. Stay safe, keep well, and good holidays, everyone. We are adjourned. (At 10:19 AM, proceedings concluded.)

```
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 1
     DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO)
 2
 3
          I certify that this transcript consisting of 31 pages is
 4
 5
     a true and accurate transcription to the best of my ability of
 6
     the proceedings in this case before the Honorable United
 7
     States District Court Judge Laura Taylor Swain, and the
     Honorable United States Magistrate Judge Judith Gail Dein on
 8
 9
     December 9, 2020.
10
11
12
     S/ Amy Walker
13
     Amy Walker, CSR 3799
14
     Official Court Reporter
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
2.3
24
25
```

<pre>< Dates > April 8th, 2019 17:18 August 2020 11:3 December 16th 24:3 December 7th 9:2 December 9, 2020 1:16, 4:2, 31:9 June 6th 13:12</pre>	2019. 10:15, 10:24 2020. 30:7 2021 5:7 2021. 8:11, 13:12 216 10:14 222 8:21 2314 7:12 271 17:14	922 23:13 95-989 16:25 99 26:14 995 17:9 9:30 6:25, 30:8 9:33 4:3 9th 10:24
June 6th, 2021 13:21 "one 16:19	< 3 > 30 14:1, 30:3, 30:6	< A > A. 2:18 AAFAF 7:4, 7:17,
<pre>< 1 > 105. 16:3 10:19 30:18 11 26:3 1162 17:17 11th 18:6, 18:11 12th 30:7 1364 18:11 138 19:2 148 26:14</pre>	305. 15:2 31 31:4 320 17:9 349 18:13 362(d)(2 21:19 362(e 29:19 365 17:21 365. 16:24 3799 31:14 3: 1:6, 1:23	9:18, 9:21, 27:23 Abid 2:21, 10:9 ability 21:12, 21:15, 31:5 able 16:13, 29:12 above 24:23 accessing 5:10 accomplish 18:5 according 8:20, 21:5 account 13:8 accounting 26:22
15171 14:12 15186 27:24 15308 7:11 15376 6:13 15382 7:7	< 4 > 410 17:14 465 16:18 497 23:7	accumulated 25:9 accumulation 25:4 accurate 6:7, 31:5 Acquisitions 2:21 acted 12:16
15388 7:7 17-3283 4:16, 6:13, 7:11 17-3283. 7:8, 14:12,	< 5 > 513 16:18	actual 25:20 actually 9:7, 12:3, 22:10, 22:14, 25:16, 25:20
27:24 17-4780 7:12 17-BK-3283(LTS 1:6 17-BK-4780(LTS 1:23	574 18:13 < 6 >	add 9:23 addition 7:9 additional 7:21, 7:25, 8:3, 8:22,
1978). 18:13 1984 16:18 1992). 19:2 1993). 17:9	687 19:2 6th 18:13	9:3 address 5:24, 16:11, 20:11, 20:22, 22:24, 24:4, 26:2
1996). 18:11 1:00 6:25 1st 17:9	<pre>< 8 > 84 18:11 86 23:13 8:30 7:2 8:39 7:1</pre>	addressed 30:2 addressing 26:1 adds 18:17 adjourn 30:4 adjourned 30:17
<pre>< 2 > 20 14:13, 27:6 2001). 17:14 2013 19:9, 19:25 2019 10:23, 17:17</pre>	< 9 > 9019 16:2, 20:17 9019. 20:21	adjournment 29:17, 29:18 adjustment 18:23 Administered 1:11, 1:28

1 1 1 1 20 11		
administration 30:14	America 4:14	argument 14:13,
administrative 7:13,	amount 21:11, 25:7,	21:21, 22:7,
7:15, 10:4, 10:21,	25 : 9	22:15, 27:21, 29:4
11:23, 13:17	amounts 25:5	around 27:16
admit 25:11	Amy 31:13, 31:14	articulated 21:25
	_	
adopted 18:6	analysis 9:3, 18:15,	assert 12:25, 21:17
ADR 8:20, 9:9, 9:10	22:25	asserting 21:19,
advance 6:7, 7:6	answer 9:12	26:21
advanced 25:10	anticipate 11:21	assistance 11:14
advances 25:17	Anyway 27:19	assistant 28:13
Advisory 2:13,	apologize 6:7, 8:25,	assume 15:9, 22:13,
20:15, 26:5, 26:6	20:8	23:15, 23:16
affect 8:5, 11:10,	apparently 15:24	assumed 18:18
18:17		
	APPEARANCES 2:2	assuming 23:4, 26:25
affected 5:2	APPEARING 2:4	Assumption 14:10,
Agency 2:12	application 10:20,	14:22, 15:3,
Agenda 5:22, 6:12,	11:23, 16:11,	15:17, 18:5, 18:8,
7:3, 7:16, 14:9,	17:8, 17:20, 24:16	19:5, 19:6, 19:22,
27:15, 29:21,	applications 13:9	20:13
29:25	applies 24:6	Atlantic 6:25, 30:8
ago 28:14, 28:16,	apply 16:14, 16:16,	Authority 1:33,
28:24, 28:25	17:12, 17:24,	2:13, 16:3, 16:14
agreement 15:14,	18:7, 23:6, 23:17	authorize 16:4
20:13, 27:7	applying 16:24,	Automatic 16:4,
	18:14	
Agreements 14:11,		27:20, 29:20
14:23, 15:1, 15:9,	appointments 8:3	available 6:13, 7:6,
15:10, 15:11,	approach 16:12,	7:10
16:9, 18:2, 18:18,	16:16, 16:24,	await 13:14, 13:20
18:19, 19:3, 19:6,	17:8, 17:13,	away 6:11
26:25	17:22, 17:25,	
Akin 10:9	18:3, 18:7, 18:8,	
al 1:16, 2:7, 4:18	18:14, 19:4,	< B >
alert 6:16	22:18, 22:21,	back 6:24, 10:15,
allocated 10:6,	23:5, 23:7, 23:11,	10:22, 21:9
14:13, 27:21	23:17, 23:21,	backwards 18:4
allocation 6:21,	23:23, 24:5, 24:6,	Bankr 19:2
27:8	24:8, 24:14,	Bankruptcy 4:16,
allotted 6:9, 25:25	24:15, 24:16	17:15, 17:17,
allow 5:23, 26:25	appropriate 6:2,	18:25, 23:13
allows 15:24	13:18, 15:9,	based 9:3, 22:14,
already 8:4, 9:8	15:17, 17:24,	23:9
Alright 28:24	18:15, 30:10	basis 20:14
alternative 14:24,	Approval 14:10,	begin 16:10, 27:25,
15:15, 15:19,	22:23, 26:5, 26:7	30:8
20:12, 24:16,	approve 15:25, 24:9	behalf 10:10, 14:14
26:2, 26:6	approved 20:21	believe 9:1, 10:18,
Alternatively 16:3	approving 14:22,	11:19, 16:8, 24:2
although 13:19	14:24	believes 30:1
Amended 6:12	argues 16:15	benefit 18:9, 22:13
Amendment 13:1	arguing 24:13	best 8:13, 31:5
THICHAILCILC TO. I	arguring 24.10	Negr 0.10, 01.0

1 1 6 0	1 10 10 17 10	1 05 10 06 10
beyond 6:9	16:19, 17:13,	25:18, 26:12,
BIAGGI 2:13, 9:20,	18:10, 18:17,	26:16, 27:4
10:2, 28:7, 28:11,	22:2, 22:22,	Clause 20:2
28:20, 28:25,	22:23, 22:25,	clear 21:17
29:5, 29:8, 29:11,	23:7, 23:8, 23:9,	clearly 6:1, 21:21
		_ :
29:24	23:12, 23:14,	Clerk 6:14
Bienenstock 2:7,	24:1, 27:24, 31:6	close 5:5
7:23, 7:24, 8:15	cases 8:21, 22:22,	Cobra 2:21, 7:14,
Bildisco 16:17	23:4, 23:6, 24:4,	10:4, 10:5, 10:10,
binding 16:14	30:14	10:13, 10:17,
_		
blush 22:18	CAT 2:46	11:8, 11:12,
Board 1:10, 1:27,	CEO 12:16	11:14, 11:15,
4:17, 7:4, 7:9,	certify 31:4	12:15, 12:16,
7:17, 7:19, 7:21,	cetera 8:13, 23:18,	12:20, 13:19,
7:24, 8:4, 12:9,	23:19	13:20
12:11, 13:4,	challenges 5:3	Cohen 19:1
13:11, 14:20,	challenging 4:24,	collect 21:25
15:1, 16:8, 16:13,	30:15	Columbia 22:23
21:5, 22:7, 26:3,	change 5:4	comment 5:25, 10:6
26:10	check 29:5	comments 13:25
Boston 30:12	Cir 17:9, 18:11,	Committee 2:16,
break 6:23	18:13	26:20
breaking 6:8	Circuit 17:7, 17:12,	Commonwealth 1:15,
Brian 2:8, 8:13,	17:13, 17:19,	2:6, 4:18, 14:10,
8:18	18:6, 18:12, 19:9,	14:14, 14:21,
brief 10:11	19:25, 21:18,	14:25, 15:8,
briefed 10:18	22:5, 22:17,	15:13, 15:15,
briefly 5:24, 20:10	22:20, 22:22,	18:21, 19:8,
bright 5:7	24:4, 24:5	19:12, 19:24,
bring 5:7, 24:7	citation 16:18	20:1, 21:20,
Buenos 4:21	cited 22:23	26:22, 27:1, 27:7
bundle 13:17	claim 7:15, 10:5,	communication 28:10,
Burnham 19:1	21:10, 21:18,	29:2
buzz 6:17, 6:19	22:1, 22:5, 22:8,	completed 10:15,
buzzer 19:16		11:6
	22:25, 26:12,	
buzzes 6:18, 6:22	26:16, 27:9	completion 11:4
	claimholder 25:6	comprehensive 7:18,
	claimholders 25:6	12:2
< C >	claims 7:20, 8:12,	comprise 17:5
C. 2:13	8:21, 12:20,	computer 5:11, 28:2
call 4:9, 5:20, 6:3,	13:17, 14:25,	concede 22:18
14:2	20:25, 21:5,	conceding 22:10,
called 28:13	21:22, 21:23,	22:12, 26:10
care 7:17	22:4, 23:14,	concerning 10:4
		conclude 11:9
carry 15:8	23:20, 25:15,	
Case 4:9, 4:16,	26:13, 26:19,	concluded. 30:18
6:13, 7:7, 7:11,	27:4, 27:5, 27:6	concludes 29:25
7:12, 12:19,	clarity 5:21	conclusion 11:19
12:22, 12:23,	Class 14:11, 24:20,	conditions 19:13
13:1, 14:12,	24:23, 25:8,	conducting 30:13
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-

conference 5:15 confirmed 15:18, 24:20 confirming 15:12 Congress 16:24, 17:21 connect 28:22, 29:3 connecting 28:15, 28:18 consents 15:1 consideration 13:9 consistent 5:14, 22:1 consisting 31:4 Construction 17:16 contend 7:14 contested 14:8,	courts 17:11, 18:7 COVID-19 5:1 create 6:6 Creditors 2:17, 8:9, 23:22, 23:25, 26:20, 27:3 criminal 7:12, 10:17, 10:19, 10:23, 10:25, 12:13, 12:19, 12:23 criticisms 18:24 crux 20:22, 22:6 CSA 17:14 CSR 31:14 currently 8:4	21:13, 21:14, 22:3, 24:11, 24:17, 25:1, 25:2, 25:11, 25:14, 25:23, 25:24, 27:12 detail 7:17 details 30:10 determination 22:6 determinations 29:20 determine 17:25 determines 15:11 determining 17:22 detriment 23:21, 27:2 Development 18:10 dial 6:24
contested 14:8, 14:9, 27:19 continue 4:24, 5:4, 11:19 contract 11:12, 16:19, 17:1, 17:23, 18:8, 22:14 contracts 12:17, 12:18, 16:9, 17:2, 17:4, 17:6, 18:3, 19:4 controversy 21:21 convenience 24:20, 24:23, 25:7, 25:8, 25:18, 26:12, 26:16, 27:4 cooperating 11:15 core 26:18 Corp. 17:17, 18:10 cost 6:14 Counsel 4:21, 7:19, 7:21, 10:5, 15:18, 19:14, 19:15, 24:22, 25:4, 25:10, 25:15, 27:9, 27:25, 29:11 counsels 27:5 Countryman 16:12, 16:14, 17:8,	<pre></pre>	dial 6:24 dias 4:21 different 24:14 difficult 6:6 difficulty 6:10 direct 6:23, 12:1 directed 16:10,
17:20, 17:25, 18:6, 18:15, 18:16, 18:25 course 7:1 COURTROOM 4:10	<pre>described 25:19 designated 8:21 designations 8:23 Despins 2:18, 20:5, 20:6, 20:7, 20:10,</pre>	dollar. 23:25 dollars 10:14, 25:17, 26:14 done 18:12 draws 5:5

Drexel 19:1	expect 8:22	Fiscal 2:11
Due 16:20, 17:2,	expected 11:1, 18:5	five 8:4, 27:22,
20:2	expecting 9:5	28:14, 28:25
Duprey-rivera 27:20	expense 7:15, 10:5	flexible 16:24,
during 5:20, 5:24,	expenses 10:21,	17:22
6:5	11:10, 11:11,	folks 24:21
	11:23	forth 12:11, 12:15
	explained 17:11,	found 11:8
< E >	19:1	foundation 15:22
Eastern 7:1, 7:2	extent 16:20, 16:22,	fours 22:24
effect 10:20	17:3, 24:13	fraudulently 12:16
effective 10:14		frustrating 13:19
efficient 13:18		full 18:19, 18:21,
either 17:8, 24:13,	< F >	18:22, 20:18,
26:14	F.2d 17:9, 18:13	22:15, 23:3,
Electronica 17:9	F.3d 18:11	23:20, 24:19,
employee 23:18	fact 17:20, 20:11	24:21, 24:24,
encourage 6:14	facts 20:24, 21:2	26:12
end 8:23, 20:3,	fall 26:15	function 6:2
24:18	far 8:22, 9:3, 15:6	functional 16:12,
endorsed 17:7, 17:13	feature 25:12, 27:7	16:16, 17:8, 18:3,
enough 24:20	fees 24:23	18:7, 18:14, 19:4,
entered 15:4	Feld 10:10	22:17, 22:21,
entire 15:3, 17:5	FEMA 11:2, 11:6,	23:5, 23:6, 23:11,
Entry 6:12, 7:7,	11:7, 11:9, 11:15,	23:17, 23:21,
7:11, 7:12, 14:12,	12:17, 13:16	23:23, 24:5, 24:6,
15:1, 27:24	few 9:7, 28:16	24:8, 24:14
equally 21:2	fiddling 27:16	fund 19:8, 19:24,
equity 21:20	Fifth 12:25	22:8, 23:14
escheat 19:10, 20:1	filed 6:12, 8:1,	fundamental 10:12
especially 30:14	10:17, 15:5	fundamentally 11:20
Esq 2:13	filter 25:9	funds 19:10, 19:25,
estate 18:9, 22:13	final 6:22, 27:15	20:12, 20:19,
et 1:16, 2:7, 4:18,	finality 19:7, 19:22	21:20, 26:21,
8:13, 23:18, 23:19	Financial 1:9, 1:26,	26:22, 27:1
event 20:15 events 13:20	2:12, 4:16	
Everybody 4:6	find 7:18, 16:13, 27:18	< G >
everyone 5:2, 5:6,	finding 12:15	Gail 1:41, 31:8
5:14, 6:23, 8:1,	First 7:3, 7:16,	Gallivan 22:22
30:16	7:20, 11:7, 12:3,	Garcia-rubiera 14:11
examination 18:4	12:4, 13:20, 14:8,	Gas 22:23
example 6:19, 23:12	14:14, 17:7,	gating 12:19
executory 15:11,	17:12, 17:19,	gave 28:14
16:9, 16:19, 17:1,	19:9, 19:24,	General 7:21, 9:19,
17:5, 17:23, 18:3,	20:22, 21:18,	15:23, 16:3, 18:10
18:20, 19:3, 23:1,	22:5, 22:17,	generally 17:1,
23:16	22:18, 22:19,	17:3, 24:12
exercise 21:19	22:22, 23:16,	getting 9:6, 20:18,
EXHIBITS 3:9	24:4, 24:5, 26:1	23:25

give 23:12 Given 12:2, 12:13 goals 18:4 God 4:14 Gonzalez 23:8 governed 11:12 government 7:13, 12:10, 12:12, 13:4, 13:21 Gracia-gracia 15:4 granted 29:18 granting 20:20	Honorable 1:39, 1:41, 4:12, 4:13, 4:15, 31:6, 31:8 hope 5:4 hopes 5:7, 8:11 horrible 20:23, 20:24, 21:2 hundred 25:7 hundreds 21:1, 21:3, 25:17	Interruptions 6:6 invalidate 12:17 invite 7:20, 27:25 island 5:2 issue 12:18, 16:10, 20:22, 22:9, 22:12, 23:11, 26:10, 26:11, 30:9 issued 5:16, 22:20 issues 5:24, 19:7, 19:23, 26:24 issuing 15:23
gross 25:7 Group 19:2 guess 8:12 Gump 10:9	identified 14:3 identify 5:21 II.1 14:9 III 1:8, 1:25, 2:18,	Item 7:3, 7:16, 14:9, 27:15
<pre>< H > Hadassa 12:9 half 13:20 happen 23:9 happens 13:15 happiness 8:10 Happy 5:6, 9:16, 11:24 Hauer 10:9 health 5:4, 8:10 hear 6:22, 9:15, 9:25, 12:3, 22:21, 29:9 heard 6:1, 6:4, 14:4, 30:4 Hearing 1:38, 4:19, 4:23, 5:8, 5:16, 5:20, 6:6, 6:10, 7:6, 30:1, 30:7,</pre>	4:25, 14:21, 26:8 imagine 12:23 impact 23:24 impacted 12:22 important 21:7, 23:18 imposition 11:20 in. 29:13 Inc. 17:9, 17:14 include 24:15 includes 17:2, 17:4 including 5:17 incurred 11:11 indicated 17:21 individual 24:21 initial 9:12 insight 11:5 instance 12:5, 15:10, 16:16 instructions 28:18,	J. 2:7 January 11:21, 13:14, 29:14, 29:21, 30:7 Jointly 1:11, 1:28 Jolly 18:13 joyous 5:6 Juan 4:1 Judge 1:39, 1:40, 1:41, 1:42, 4:5, 4:6, 7:23, 23:7, 28:4, 31:7, 31:8 judgment 21:25 judgments 21:4 judicial 5:15 Judith 1:41, 4:13, 31:8 June 13:14
30:8, 30:13 held 19:8, 19:24, 26:21 Hello 9:25 help 28:17 helpful 9:14, 27:14 high 8:11, 24:20 history 16:23, 19:5 hold 28:4 holdback 27:6 holdbacks 25:4, 25:5 holiday 5:6 holidays 9:16, 30:16	28:21 insurance 19:8, 19:24 intended 16:24 intention 13:14 interest 4:22, 5:24, 8:10, 19:8, 19:23, 26:9, 26:10, 26:25 interested 6:14 interests 26:21 interface 28:2 interim 9:5 interrupt 6:5, 6:8	<pre>< K > keep 5:8, 6:15, 30:16 keeping 6:16 key 21:12 knows 8:2 < L > La 17:9 lack 10:12 Lambert 19:2 last 19:15, 19:18,</pre>

28:12, 28:21	16:1, 16:7, 18:2,	morale 23:18
later 10:25	19:12, 19:18,	morning 4:5, 4:6,
Laura 1:39, 4:12,	19:19, 19:22,	4:21, 6:25, 7:23,
31:7	20:4, 25:25, 26:1,	8:19, 9:20, 9:22,
law 21:8, 21:24,	26:18, 27:11	10:8, 12:7, 12:8,
22:2	Magistrate 1:41,	14:17, 14:18,
	31:8	
lead 24:19, 24:24		20:7, 20:9, 28:7,
leave 29:15	mainland 5:2	28:9, 29:8
leaving 10:13	maintain 23:18	Motion 11:23, 13:14,
left 28:22	Management 1:10,	14:10, 15:3, 15:5,
legal 15:22	1:27, 4:17	15:17, 18:20,
legislative 16:23	manner 6:1	18:22, 20:12,
less 6:22, 21:3,	March 10:15	21:19, 24:9, 26:3,
21:6, 24:1	MARINI 2:13, 9:18,	26:24, 27:15,
lesser 15:12	9:20, 9:21, 9:25,	27:20, 29:20
letters 9:6	10:2, 28:6, 28:7,	movant 27:22, 27:23,
level 9:9	28:8, 28:11,	28:1, 29:12
Lexus 17:17	28:20, 28:25,	move 9:9
liability 11:10	29:5, 29:8, 29:9,	moved 23:15, 29:14
Lift 15:5, 26:23	29:11, 29:22,	MS 4:6, 4:9, 12:3,
·	29:24	
lifted 11:22		12:4, 12:6, 12:7,
lifting 16:4	Martin 2:7, 7:24	12:9, 13:6, 13:7,
likely 26:15	Mass 17:14	13:23, 28:3, 28:4
Likewise 10:2	Massachusetts 17:11	Muniz 9:21
limit 29:19	material 7:14,	Munoz 27:25, 28:3,
limited 5:17	18:16, 21:21	28:10
line 5:9, 28:3,	materiality 16:22	mute 5:9, 5:11
28:5, 28:6, 28:8	matter 10:18, 10:22,	
Lisa 4:6	14:9, 27:19, 30:2	
listed 5:22	matters 5:23, 14:8	< N >
litigating 26:11	meaningful 10:20,	name 5:21, 6:1,
litigation 19:5,	13:2	14:2, 14:5, 30:3
21:8, 26:7	mediators 8:5	necessary 12:21
logging 29:13	medical 23:14, 23:19	need 6:23, 12:24,
logistical 30:10	members 4:22, 5:18,	25:11, 28:1
long 19:4, 28:24	8:4	needed 11:13
look 23:4, 23:6,	mention 16:21	New 5:7, 8:10, 19:1,
23:24, 25:12	merely 21:22, 21:25,	30:12
looking 23:24	27:8	next 8:25, 9:7, 9:9,
lost 27:17	message 28:14,	27:13, 30:7
Luc 2:18	28:16, 28:23	NG 4:6, 28:3, 28:4
Luis 2:13, 9:20,	midst 4:25	NLRB 16:17
27:19, 27:25,	million 10:14	No. 1:6, 1:23, 4:16,
28:7, 29:8	millions 21:4	6:13, 7:7, 7:11,
	minutes 6:17, 6:21,	7:12, 14:12,
	10:7, 14:13, 20:5,	16:25, 27:24, 28:5
< M >	25:25, 27:21,	None 3:5, 3:11
Ma 2:9, 14:15,	27:22, 28:14,	nonetheless 16:9
14:16, 14:17,	28:16, 28:25	noon 7:2
14:19, 15:21,	moment 27:18	nor 11:11
· · , · · · = = ,	· - •	

Nos. 7:7 Notably 18:14, 26:21 note 17:3 noted 16:19, 17:16, 26:23, 27:5 notes 11:13, 16:25 nothing 18:17, 21:17 notice 9:1 noting 19:24 number 29:6	outcome 10:19, 18:17 outcomes 24:18 outset 20:11 outstanding 17:4, 18:16, 30:13 Oversight 1:9, 1:26, 4:17, 7:4, 7:9, 7:17, 7:19, 7:21, 7:24, 8:3, 12:9, 13:11, 14:20, 15:1, 16:8, 16:13,	16:5, 25:4, 25:20, 26:6 pendency 12:13 pending 26:8, 27:12 pennies 23:25 penny 24:1 people 14:2, 20:25, 21:1, 21:4 per 26:14 percent 21:3, 21:6, 25:7, 27:6 performance 16:20
<pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> objection 29:15 obligation 18:16 obligations 12:18,</pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>	15:1, 16:8, 16:13, 21:5, 26:3 own 6:15, 16:2 ownership 21:16 <pre> <pre></pre></pre>	25:7, 27:6 performance 16:20, 17:2 permission 24:3 permits 17:22 permitted 5:17 person 5:17, 6:4, 14:1 phone 5:12, 5:13, 8:17, 14:5, 28:1 phones 5:9 PHV 2:7, 2:8, 2:9, 2:18, 2:21 Pietrantoni 9:21 place 12:14, 13:5 Plaintiffs 14:12, 15:4, 15:6, 15:18, 18:18, 18:20, 18:22, 19:7, 19:23, 26:11, 26:18, 26:20, 27:3, 27:9 plan 18:23, 24:19, 25:16 planning 9:15 Plastics 23:13 played. 6:20, 11:16, 18:1, 19:11, 25:13, 26:17 plays 25:20 Please 4:9, 5:21, 6:1, 6:5, 6:10, 8:16, 19:15
14:24, 15:2, 15:7, 15:23, 26:7, 28:12, 30:10 ordered 19:9, 19:25 orderly 5:8 orders 5:15 originally 10:22	<pre>payable 25:6 paying 22:14 payment 14:25, 15:24, 20:25, 21:11, 23:2, 24:19, 24:24 payments 15:13,</pre>	PM 6:25 point 17:19, 20:11, 23:2, 23:23, 26:2, 26:5, 26:9 point. 22:10, 22:12 policies 5:15 position 12:12,

13:4, 22:7, 29:1 positions 24:15 post-petition 10:14 potential 12:25 Power 1:32 pre-petition 14:23 precise 17:1 premise 21:21 PREPA 7:9, 10:4, 10:17, 11:10, 11:12, 11:13, 11:17, 12:17, 12:18, 13:12 preparing 30:12	19:23, 26:9, 26:10, 26:24 Proskauer 7:24, 14:19 provide 15:7, 19:6, 19:22 providing 30:10 public 4:22, 5:18, 6:13, 7:7, 7:10 PUERTO 1:3, 1:11, 1:16, 1:28, 1:32, 2:7, 2:11, 4:1, 4:12, 4:17, 4:18, 17:16, 17:18,	reaching 29:22 real 11:5 really 10:12, 12:19, 22:15 reason 15:3 reasonable 11:8 reasonableness 11:7, 11:11 reasons 13:3, 13:18 rebuttal 25:25, 27:23 recent 11:14 record 5:22, 8:2, 10:9, 14:19
present 4:13 presentations 5:25 President 8:2 presiding 4:13 press 4:22, 5:18, 21:16 prevail 18:20	21:8, 21:24, 30:11, 31:2 punished 5:19 purpose 26:11 purposes 9:15, 26:22 pursuant 15:13, 16:2 pursue 21:11	recorded 2:45 recording 5:16 recovery 21:3 Redondo 17:16 redress 10:14 reflected 7:18 regard 13:10
prevails 18:21 previously 10:18 Prime 6:14 principle 21:24 prior 13:8, 13:9, 26:23	<pre>put 8:2, 29:21 < Q > qualify 25:18 question 7:19, 8:12,</pre>	regarding 7:12, 7:19, 13:25, 26:24 register 28:12, 29:13 reimbursements 26:13 rejection 18:5, 18:8
<pre>priority 22:11 probably 8:13 Procedures 7:5, 30:10 proceed 10:24, 11:1, 11:22 proceeding 10:19, 18:4</pre>	8:20, 9:13, 12:20 questions 6:9, 9:23, 9:24, 11:25, 13:25, 27:14 queuing 13:13 quite 7:18, 12:1 quote 16:22, 17:1, 17:11	relates 10:13 release 27:1 Relief 14:22, 15:12, 15:16, 15:19, 20:12, 20:20, 26:2, 26:4, 27:15, 27:20, 29:20 relitigate 21:10
Proceedings 2:45, 4:25, 5:10, 7:13, 12:13, 30:18, 31:6 proceeds 27:8 Process 7:20, 8:6, 8:13, 8:22, 9:9, 20:2	Qureshi 2:21, 10:6, 10:7, 10:8, 10:9, 11:17, 12:5, 13:23 < R > raised 5:24	rely 15:7 remain 4:25, 5:1, 12:14, 13:5 remaining 6:17 remains 12:12, 13:16, 16:20, 17:2 remanded 21:18, 22:5
produced 2:45, 12:25 progress 5:4, 8:7, 10:12 projected 21:5 PROMESA 1:8, 1:25, 13:16, 15:2, 15:24 proper 26:5 property 19:7,	Rand 11:8 rates 11:8 rather 16:22, 18:14 Re 1:6, 1:23, 4:16, 17:9, 17:16, 18:10, 18:12 reach 29:2 reached 29:11	remark 7:25 remarks 7:22, 9:19, 10:3, 12:2 remind 5:14, 11:3 repeat 19:15, 19:18 Report 7:10, 8:1, 8:21, 10:4, 10:16, 11:13, 13:11,

	1	1
13:15, 13:21,	Rodriguez 27:25,	served 26:11
16 : 25	28:3, 28:10,	session 4:12
Reporter 19:14,	28:12, 29:23	sessions 8:6
19:15, 31:15	Rose 14:19	set 12:11, 12:15,
reports 7:3, 7:5,	ROSEN 2:8, 8:13,	29:25
7:16, 7:18, 14:1	8:16, 8:18, 8:19,	settled 21:8, 21:11,
representative 1:13,	8:24, 9:12, 9:16	23:4
1:30, 4:18, 14:20	Rule 5:19, 6:8, 16:2	Settlement 14:11,
represented 26:19	ruling 26:5, 26:6	14:23, 14:25,
request 11:14,	rulings 13:10	15:9, 15:10,
29:14, 29:17,	run 19:20	15:11, 15:14,
	Tull 19.20	
29:18		16:9, 18:2, 18:18,
requested 7:4,		18:19, 19:3, 19:5,
15:15 , 26:3	< S >	20:13, 20:17,
require 5:25, 13:11,	s. 2:8	20:18, 20:20,
17:20	s/ 31:13	22:13, 24:25,
requiring 16:14	safe 30:16	25:3, 25:16, 26:7,
reserve 27:12		26:25, 27:7
	safety 5:4	
resolution 7:14,	San 4:1	settlements 21:4
7:20, 12:19, 12:20	sanctions 5:19	severe 5:3
resolve 15:5, 19:6,	satisfactory 15:19	severely 12:22
23:11	satisfied 15:12,	shall 22:20
resolved 12:23	19:12, 20:1	show 24:8
resolving 26:24	satisfy 23:20	shows 16:23
respect 11:2, 12:16,	save 4:14	side 24:13
13:15, 19:7, 19:23	saying 22:20, 23:10,	sides 17:3, 17:5
respond 8:14, 11:14,	24:18	sides. 16:20
12:4, 24:10	scheduled 10:23,	significance 16:21
responding 9:6	30:7	similar 21:4
response 27:22	season 5:6	Similarly 17:10,
response. 14:6, 30:5	Second 11:7, 12:21,	17:15, 18:12
responses 9:6	23:5, 27:19	simply 14:24, 15:12,
responsive 27:13	seconds 14:1, 30:3,	16:4
	30:6	situation 20:24
result 18:24, 24:7,		
24:15	Section 15:2, 16:24,	Sixth 18:12
retransmission 5:16	17:21	small 26:13
returning 11:21	seek 11:22, 14:22,	socially 5:6
review 11:2, 11:4,	14:24	Solutions 5:11, 6:3,
11:6, 11:7, 11:8	seeking 15:16, 26:4	28:12
RICO 1:3, 1:11,	seeks 11:18	sorry 24:25, 27:16
1:16, 1:28, 1:32,	seem 22:1, 27:17	sought 20:12
2:7, 2:11, 4:1,	seems 15:9, 17:7	Sound 6:19, 6:20,
4:12, 4:17, 4:18,	segregated 26:22	11:16, 18:1,
17:16, 17:18,	select 5:11	19:11, 25:13,
21:8, 21:24,	Senate 16:25	26:17
30:11, 31:2	sent 9:1, 28:11,	sounded 19:16
rights 13:1	28 : 20	Southern 18:25
risk 22:14, 22:15,	sentence 19:16,	speaker 5:20, 6:15,
23:3	19:18	6:16
roads 24:24	separate 25:8, 27:9	speakers 5:22, 6:3
10000 21.21	Separace 23.0, 27.9	1 products 3.22, 0.3

speaking 5:10, 14:14, 19:16,	support 30:14 Supreme 16:17,	transcription 31:5 treat 25:3, 27:3
29:23	16:18, 16:21,	treatment 13:17,
specifically 21:18 specified 6:24	17:21 Swain 1:39, 4:5,	22:4, 27:4 trial 10:23, 10:25,
spoken 5:23	4:13, 7:23, 31:7	11:1, 13:15
staff 8:9, 30:11		trouble 28:15,
stakeholders 4:24	(T)	28:23, 29:13
Standard 6:25, 7:1, 30:8	< T > Tacoronte 4:9	true 22:5, 31:5 Trump 8:3
start 10:11	tandem 17:12	trust 20:19, 21:16,
state 6:1, 14:5,	Taylor 1:39, 4:12,	22:8, 23:14, 26:21
24:12, 30:3	31:7	try 28:17
statement 13:7	telephonic 4:23,	turn 9:18, 10:3,
States 1:1, 1:40, 1:42, 4:11, 4:14,	5:8, 7:6 TELEPHONICALLY 2:4,	11:17, 12:4 two 6:17, 6:18,
31:7, 31:8	30:9	6:21, 6:22, 10:6,
stating 15:23	tells 23:10	14:23
status 7:3, 7:10,	ten 20:5, 27:21,	two-minute 27:23
7:16, 8:1, 10:16, 11:13, 13:11,	28:25	type 23:8
13:21, 13:25	terms 12:18, 20:24, 22:17, 22:25,	
Stay 11:20, 11:22,	25:20	< U >
12:13, 12:14,	test 16:12, 16:15,	ultimately 9:9, 11:9
13:5, 15:5, 16:4,	17:20, 18:7,	uncertainty 20:19,
18:20, 18:22, 26:7, 26:23,	18:15, 18:25 tests 17:12, 18:16	22:9 unchanged 12:12
27:16, 27:20,	themselves 14:3	underlying 20:24,
29:21, 30:16	theory 21:16	21:10, 22:4
stayed 10:22	third 11:6	underscoring 10:12
stenography 2:45	thou 22:20	understand 10:5,
Steve 2:9, 14:19 Stevens 17:13	thoughts 5:1 thousands 21:1,	20:23, 21:7, 28:13 understandably 13:19
stipulate 22:8	25:17	understood 29:18
stipulation 15:5,	Three 8:3, 25:25,	unfair 11:20
15:6	27:22	unfairness 10:13
stop 13:13 Strauss 10:9	threshold 26:15 timing 6:24, 8:6	United 1:1, 1:40, 1:42, 4:11, 4:14,
strike 23:19	Title 1:8, 1:25,	31:6, 31:8
strongly 24:18	2:18, 4:25, 14:21,	universe 17:5
structure 13:16	26 : 8	unless 8:11, 9:23,
structured 25:3	Today 4:23, 5:8,	12:22, 13:15
Structurlite 23:13 submissions 24:2,	5:23, 28:21, 30:2, 30:13	unmute 5:13, 8:16, 14:4, 28:1
24:13, 27:13	total 14:13, 27:21	Unsecured 2:16,
submit 22:19, 24:7	tracing 21:19	21:18, 21:22,
submitted 7:10, 9:8	track 6:15, 6:16,	21:23, 22:1, 26:20
suggests 17:4 supplemental 22:19,	27:17 Transcript 2:45,	until 19:12, 20:1 usual 7:3
27:12	6:7, 31:4	usuar /•J
· ·	,	

```
< V >
v. 16:17, 17:14,
  19:1
vehicle 26:13, 26:14
versus 16:12
view 13:7, 27:8
Violations 5:18
voice 9:15, 9:25
< W >
wait 14:1, 29:7,
  30:2
waiting 28:19
waiver 29:19
walk 21:12
Walker 31:13, 31:14
wanted 8:8, 15:7
wave 6:2
Waxman 12:3, 12:4,
  12:6, 12:7, 12:9,
  13:6, 13:7, 13:23
week 27:13, 28:13,
  28:21
weeks 9:7
Welcome 4:21
whether 15:25, 18:2,
  20:19, 28:18,
  29:3, 29:14
who've 14:2
whoever 29:1
wind 19:20
wish 5:5, 5:12,
  5:25, 8:9, 11:3,
  29:3, 30:3
wished 13:24
wishes 6:4, 10:6,
  12:3
without 10:13
WITNESSES 3:3, 12:25
wondering 28:18
work 10:15, 22:15,
  30:12
works 18:4, 23:11
Worldcom 23:7, 23:9
worldwide 5:1
writing 7:5
```

< Y >
Y. 2:9
year 5:3, 5:5, 5:7,
8:10, 8:23, 9:3,
10:25, 13:10,
13:20, 26:14
yesterday 8:2
York 19:1, 30:12
yourself 5:21